

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

(1) GODWIN EHIREMAN, )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

Case No. )

(1) STANLEY GLANZ, SHERIFF OF )  
TULSA COUNTY, His official capacity, )  
and individual capacity, )

(2) BOARD OF COUNTY )  
COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY, )

Defendants, )

**14 CV - 292 GKF - FHM**

**FILED**

JUN 2 2014

Phil Lombardi, Clerk  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendants Stanley Glanz, Sheriff of Tulsa County, in his official and individual capacities ("Glanz"), and Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County ("BOCC"), by and through their undersigned counsel, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441 and 1446, hereby notify this Court that they are removing the above-captioned action currently pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. In support of this Notice of Removal, Defendants state as follows:

1. Plaintiff filed her Petition against Defendants in the District Court for Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on May 9, 2014 (the "State Court Lawsuit"). Defendants received service of Plaintiff's Petition on or after May 14, 2014. Thus, this Notice of Removal is filed with this Court within thirty (30) days of the receipt of Plaintiff's Complaint (or Petition) as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

2. Defendants are filing a Status Report of Removed Action along with the filing of this Notice of Removal. It is currently expected that Defendants will also be filing Answers, or other responses to the Petition, in this Court within seven (7) days of filing this Notice of

Fees pd

Removal. Pursuant to LCvR 81.2, clear and legible copies of all documents filed or served in the case are attached as follows: Plaintiff's Petition as Exhibit "1", copies of the summons served upon Defendants Glanz and BOCC as Exhibits "2" and "3", respectively, and a copy of the Docket Sheet as Exhibit "4."

3. The basis for removal to federal court is federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

4. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Defendants are promptly filing a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the District Court for Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

5. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Defendants are also giving written notice to Plaintiff by promptly serving this Notice of Removal upon Plaintiff's counsel.

6. As required by 28 U.S.C. § 1441, Defendants seek to remove this case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, which is the District Court embracing the place where the State Court Lawsuit has been filed.

#### FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION

7. Plaintiff's Petition makes claims under under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See Plaintiffs' Petition, Exhibit "1."


8. Accordingly, Plaintiff has invoked this Court's original jurisdiction on "a claim or right arising under the Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States." 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1441.

9. Venue lies in this Court because Plaintiff's action is pending in this district and division. See 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

WHEREFORE, Defendants Glanz and BOCC respectfully request that the above-captioned action now pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be removed to the District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that said District Court assume jurisdiction of this action and enter such other and further orders as may be necessary to accomplish the requested removal and promote the ends of justice.

Dated this 2nd day of June, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,




Douglas A. Wilson  
OK Bar Number 13128  
Assistant District Attorney  
Tulsa County District Attorney's Office  
500 S. Denver Ave., Suite 832  
Tulsa, OK 74103  
douglas.wilson@tulsacounty.org  
(918) 596-8795  
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING & ELECTRONIC NOTICE**

I hereby certify that on June 2, 2014, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

- Daniel E. Smolen



s/ Douglas A. Wilson  
Douglas A. Wilson

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY  
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
TULSA COUNTY

2014 MAY 14 AM 10:31

GODWIN EHIREMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

(2) STANLEY GLANZ, SHERIFF OF  
TULSA COUNTY, His official capacity,  
and individual capacity,  
(3) BOARD OF COUNTY  
COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY,

Defendants.

CJ-2014 01842

Attorney Lien Claimed

PATKEY  
TULSA COUNTY CLERK  
DAMAN CANTRELL  
DISTRICT COURT  
FILED

MAY - 9 2014

SALLY HOWE SMITH, COURT CLERK  
STATE OF OKLA. TULSA COUNTY

PETITION

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Godwin Ehireman, through his attorneys of record, brings this action against Defendants, Stanley Glanz, Sheriff of Tulsa County and Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, for violations of his constitutionally protected rights arising out of his employment and termination by said Defendants.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff is a resident of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.
2. Defendant Board of County Commissioners is a governmental entity conducting regular activity in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma. Defendant regularly employs more than fifteen (15) people.
3. Defendant Stanley Glanz is citizen of the United States and a resident of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.
4. The incidents and occurrences which form the basis of Plaintiff's action occurred in Tulsa County.

Ex. 1

5. This Court has jurisdiction and venue is proper in Tulsa County.
6. This is an action for damages and to secure protection of and to redress deprivation of rights secured by Title VII of the Civil Rights act of 1964, as amended 42 U.S.C §2000-2 hereinafter ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
7. Plaintiff, a resident of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, filed a charge of discrimination against the Defendants with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). A Notice of Right to Sue was received by each Plaintiff and this Petition has been filed within ninety days (90) of the receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue. As such, Plaintiff has complied fully with all prerequisites in this Court under Title VII.
8. Compensatory damages are sought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(g).
9. Costs and attorney's fees may be awarded pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e 5(g).
10. Punitive damages are sought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
11. Jurisdiction is proper and this action lies properly in Tulsa County as the unlawful employment practices complained of herein occurred within Tulsa County and because the Defendants conduct regular business in Tulsa County.

#### **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

12. Plaintiff is an African American male.
13. Plaintiff began working for the Defendants as a detention officer in July of 2005.
14. Through the lottery system, Plaintiff was assigned to work in the inmate library. Plaintiff was the first African American to hold this position. When Plaintiff began working in that position his supervisor, Chaplain Hardgrove, told him that he did not have time to train Plaintiff and insinuated he did not want Plaintiff in the position.

Chaplain Hardgrove failed to train the Plaintiff and harbored animus towards him because of Plaintiff's race.

15. Plaintiff continued to be subjected to different terms and conditions of employment as compared to his non-African American counterparts. In fact, Chaplain Hardgrove so resented the Plaintiff, because of his race, that Hardgrove hired a Caucasian part-time worker, Cassandra. The position had never required two people in the past.

16. Hardgrove retired and Mr. Holloway became Plaintiff's supervisor. Mr. Holloway took away Plaintiff's telephone, desk, and computer, then moved Plaintiff to a different location away from the supplies he needed to complete his duties. All of the supplies that were taken from Plaintiff were given to Cassandra, the part-time Caucasian worker. Additionally, because Plaintiff's computer had been taken away, he was forced to share a computer with a volunteer. Plaintiff suffered this different and demeaning treatment because of his race and national origin. His non-African American counterparts did not suffer differential treatment.

17. Plaintiff also suffered harsher discipline than his Caucasian coworkers when he began reporting to Sergeant Hinshaw. Specifically, Plaintiff was called into an internal affairs investigation and forced to take a lie detector test for allegedly engaging in an inappropriate relationship with an inmate. Plaintiff is aware of non-African American coworkers who were not disciplined as harshly as he was for similar or worse policy violations. Specifically, Plaintiff recalls that even amidst suspicion that Sergeant Housley (Caucasian) and Sergeant Kaiser (Caucasian) violated procedure, which resulted in an inmate's death, those individuals were not fired. Additionally, Corporal Stroope, Caucasian, was in charge of inmate accounts. However, when moneys went missing on

her watch she was reassigned and not fired. Plaintiff further suspects that the aforementioned individuals were not subjected to a polygraph test. Upon information and belief, the Plaintiff is aware of an intimate relationship between Major Shannon Clark and a Caucasian TCSO female employee, similarly situated to Mr. Ehireman, wherein neither were disciplined nor terminated after Defendant learned of the relationship. Plaintiff was terminated although similarly situated non-African American coworkers were not. In fact, Plaintiff was terminated even though he had never received written discipline or any form of discipline prior to the incident that allegedly justified his termination.

18. Additionally, in or around January 2013, Plaintiff requested a transfer to the classification and bond release position. Randy Pierce informed the Plaintiff that he had allegedly failed a background check and was therefore ineligible for transfer. This statement was completely false, as Plaintiff never failed a background check. Plaintiff is unaware of any non-African American coworkers who were falsely told they failed a background check. Plaintiff was denied the transfer and told he failed the background check because of his race and national origin.

19. Finally, Plaintiff complained to Chief Robinette because the race discrimination was worsening. Shortly after making that complaint, Plaintiff was terminated from his employment out of retaliation for complaining about race discrimination.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE (TITLE VII)**

20. Plaintiff incorporates as if realleged the preceding paragraphs.

21. By terminating the Plaintiff from his employment and treating him differently than similarly situated Caucasian coworkers, the Defendant has violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendant for:

- a. Back pay and lost benefits; front pay until normal retirement
- b. Compensatory damages for his mental anguish, pain and suffering and other non-pecuniary losses;
- c. Punitive damages for the intentional and knowing acts of discrimination committed by the management and executives;
- d. His attorney fees and the costs and expenses of this action;
- e. Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**42 U.S.C. § 1981**

22. Plaintiff incorporates as if realleged the preceding paragraphs.

23. By terminating the Plaintiff from his employment and treating him differently than similarly situated Caucasian coworkers, the Defendant has violated 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendant for:

- a. Back pay and lost benefits; front pay until normal retirement
- b. Compensatory damages for his mental anguish, pain and suffering and other non-pecuniary losses;
- c. Punitive damages for the intentional and knowing acts of discrimination committed by the management and executives;
- d. His attorney fees and the costs and expenses of this action;
- e. Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.



**THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**RETALIATION (TITLE VII)**

24. Plaintiff incorporates as if realleged the preceding paragraphs.
25. Plaintiff complained about the disparity in treatment.
26. By terminating Plaintiff's employment in retaliation for his complaints regarding the unfair treatment and race discrimination, Defendant has violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-3(a).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendant for:

- a. Back pay and lost benefits; front pay until normal retirement
- b. Compensatory damages for his mental anguish, pain and suffering and other non-pecuniary losses;
- c. Punitive damages for the intentional and knowing acts of discrimination committed by the management and executives;
- d. His attorney fees and the costs and expenses of this action;
- e. Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

**FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

27. Plaintiff incorporates as if realleged the preceding paragraphs.
28. The Defendants' actions of intentional and malicious discrimination and retaliation are extreme and outrageous and have caused severe emotional and psychological damage to the Plaintiff.
29. The Defendants intentionally or recklessly caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff beyond which a reasonable person could be expected to endure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendants for:

- a. Back pay and lost benefits; front pay until normal retirement
- b. Compensatory damages for his mental anguish, pain and suffering and


other non-pecuniary losses;

c. Punitive damages for the intentional and knowing acts of discrimination committed by the management and executives;

d. His attorney fees and the costs and expenses of this action;

e. Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel F. Smolen, OBA#19943  
SMOLEN & SMOLEN, P.L.L.C.  
701 S. Cincinnati Ave.,  
Tulsa, OK 74119  
P: (918) 585-2667  
F: (918) 585-2669  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA  
STATE OF OKLAHOMA



GODWIN EHIREMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

(2) STANLEY GLANZ, SHERIFF OF  
TULSA COUNTY, His official capacity,  
and individual capacity,  
(3) BOARD OF COUNTY  
COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY,

Defendants.

CASE NO.  
**CJ-2014 01842**  
Attorney Lien Claimed

DISTRICT COURT  
**FILED**

MAY 19 2014

ORIGINAL SUMMONS

SERVE BY U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

SALLY HOWE SMITH COURT CLERK  
STATE OF OKLA. TULSA COUNTY

Stanley Glanz  
c/o Tulsa County  
303 W. 1st  
Tulsa, OK 74103

To the above-named Defendant(s)

You have been sued by the above named plaintiff(s), and you are directed to file a written answer to the attached petition and order in the court at the above address within twenty (20) days after service of this summons upon you exclusive of the day of service. Within the same time, a copy of your answer must be delivered or mailed to the attorney for the plaintiff. Unless you answer the petition within the time stated judgment will be rendered against you with costs of the action.

Issued this 9 day of May, 2014

County Court Clerk

By

Deputy Court Clerk

(Seal)

This summons and order was served on

(Signature of person serving summons)

YOU MAY SEEK THE ADVICE OF AN ATTORNEY ON ANY MATTER  
CONNECTED WITH THIS SUIT OR YOUR ANSWER. SUCH ATTORNEY SHOULD BE

Ex. 2

CONSULTED IMMEDIATELY SO THAT AN ANSWER MAY BE FILED WITHIN THE TIME LIMIT STATED IN THIS SUMMONS.

**Return ORIGINAL for filing.  
PERSONAL SERVICE**

I certify that I received the foregoing Summons the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014, and that I delivered a copy of said Summons with a copy of the Petition to the following named defendant personally in \_\_\_\_\_ County, \_\_\_\_\_ at the address and on the date set forth opposite each name, to-wit:

Name of Defendant

Address

Date of Service

**USUAL PLACE OF RESIDENCE**

I certify that I received the foregoing Summons on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014, and that on \_\_\_\_\_, I served \_\_\_\_\_ by leaving a copy of said summons with a copy of the attached Petition at \_\_\_\_\_, which is his/her dwelling house or usual place of abode, with \_\_\_\_\_ a person then residing therein, who is fifteen (15) years of age or older

Receive persons c Fee for s Total \$ Dated t I, _____ Summon affidavit Subscrib My Commission Expires: _____ Seal _____	<b>SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION</b> ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	<b>COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY</b> A. Signature <u>[Signature]</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) _____ C. Date of Delivery <u>5-14</u> D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If YES, enter delivery address below: _____
	1. Article Addressed to: <u>Stanley Glanz</u> <u>C/O Tulsa County</u> <u>303 W. 1st</u> <u>Tulsa, OK 74103</u>	3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) <u>7012 2920 0002 3932 4314</u>		

PS Form 3811, February 2004

Domestic Return Receipt

102595-02-M-1540

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

I certify that I mailed copies of the foregoing summons with a copy of the Petition to the following named defendant at the address shown by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014, and receipt thereof on the dates shown:

Defendant  
Received

Address Where Served

Date

Signature of person mailing summons

Übkt-14-20

3913

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY  
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
TULSA COUNTY  
FILED

2014 MAY 14 AM 10: 31

GODWIN EHIREMAN,

Plaintiff,

**VS.**

(2) STANLEY GLANZ, SHERIFF OF  
TULSA COUNTY, His official capacity,  
and individual capacity,  
(3) BOARD OF COUNTY  
COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY

**Defendants.**

**CJ-2014 TULSA 01842**

## Attorney Lien Claimed

RECEIVED

MAY 14 2014

**District Attorney  
Dist #14**

## ORIGINAL SUMMONS

**SERVE BY U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Board of County Commissioners of the County of Tulsa  
c/o Tulsa County Clerk  
Tulsa County Administration Bldg.  
500 S. Denver  
Tulsa, OK 74103-3832

**To the above-named Defendant(s)**

You have been sued by the above named plaintiff(s), and you are directed to file a written answer to the attached petition and order in the court at the above address within twenty (20) days after service of this summons upon you exclusive of the day of service. Within the same time, a copy of your answer must be delivered or mailed to the attorney for the plaintiff. Unless you answer the petition within the time stated judgment will be rendered against you with costs of the action.

Issued this 9 day of May, 2014

County Court Clerk

By

Deputy Court Clerk

(Seal)

This summons and order was served on

(Signature of person serving summons)

YOU MAY SEEK THE ADVICE OF AN ATTORNEY ON ANY MATTER.

### Ex. 3

CONNECTED WITH THIS SUIT OR YOUR ANSWER. SUCH A ATTORNEY SHOULD BE CONSULTED IMMEDIATELY SO THAT AN ANSWER MAY BE FILED WITHIN THE TIME LIMIT STATED IN THIS SUMMONS.

**Return ORIGINAL for filing.**

**PERSONAL SERVICE**

I certify that I received the foregoing Summons the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014, and that I delivered a copy of said Summons with a copy of the Petition to the following named defendant personally in \_\_\_\_\_ County, \_\_\_\_\_ at the address and on the date set forth opposite each name, to-wit:

Name of Defendant

Address

Date of Service

**USUAL PLACE OF RESIDENCE**

I certify that I received the foregoing Summons on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014, and that on \_\_\_\_\_, I served \_\_\_\_\_ by leaving a copy of said summons with a copy of the attached Petition at \_\_\_\_\_, which is his/her dwelling house or usual place of abode, with \_\_\_\_\_, a person then residing therein, who is fifteen (15) years of age or older.

**NOT FOUND**

Received this Summons this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014. I certify that the following persons of the defendant within named not found in said County:

**FEES**

Fee for service \$ \_\_\_\_\_, Mileage \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014.

By:

Sheriff of \_\_\_\_\_ County,

**AFFIDAVIT**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, the undersigned, under oath, do say that I served this Summons and made the return thereon, according to law that I am duly authorized to make this affidavit so help me God.

Sheriff of \_\_\_\_\_ County,

Subscribed to and sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014.

My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

Seal

Notary Public

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

I certify that I mailed copies of the foregoing summons with a copy of the Petition to the following named defendant at the address shown by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2014, and receipt thereof on the dates shown:

Defendant  
Received

Address Where Served

Date

Signature of person mailing summons



*www.oscn.net*  
THE OKLAHOMA STATE COURTS NETWORK

[Home](#) [Courts](#) [Court Dockets](#) [Legal Research](#) [Calendar](#) [Help](#)

The information on this page is NOT an official record. Do not rely on the correctness or completeness of this information. Verify all information with the official record keeper. The information contained in this report is provided in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Records Act, 51 O.S. 24A.1. Use of this information is governed by this act, as well as other applicable state and federal laws.

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

GODWIN EHIREMAN, Plaintiff, v. STANLEY GLANZ, SHERIFF OF TULSA COUNTY, Defendant, and BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY, Defendant.	No. CJ-2014-1842 (Civil relief more than \$10,000: DISCRIMINATION)  Filed: 05/09/2014  Judge: Cantrell, Daman H.
---	--

### Parties

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY , Defendant  
EHIREMAN, GODWIN , Plaintiff  
GLANZ, STANLEY , Defendant

### Attorneys

**Attorney**  
SMOLEN, DANIEL E(Bar # 19943)  
701 S. CINCINNATI  
TULSA, OK 74119

**Represented Parties**  
EHIREMAN, GODWIN

### Events

Event	Party	Docket	Reporter
-------	-------	--------	----------

### Issues

For cases filed before 1/1/2000, ancillary issues may not appear except in the docket.

Issue # 1.

Issue: DISCRIMINATION  
(DISCRIM)  
Filed by: EHIREMAN,  
GODWIN  
Filed Date: 05/09/2014

**Ex. 4**


**Party Name:****Defendant:** GLANZ, STANLEY**Disposition Information:**

Pending.

**Defendant:** BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF  
TULSA COUNTY

Pending.

**Docket**

Date	Code	Count	Party	Serial #	Entry Date		
05-09-2014	TEXT	1		89604309	May 9 2014 3:56:28:740PM	-	\$ 0.00
CIVIL RELIEF MORE THAN \$10,000 INITIAL FILING.							
05-09-2014	DISCRIM	-		89604311	May 9 2014 3:56:30:010PM	Realized	\$ 0.00
DISCRIMINATION							
05-09-2014	DMFE	-		89604312	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized	\$ 2.00
DISPUTE MEDIATION FEE(\$ 2.00)							
05-09-2014	PFE1	-		89604313	May 9 2014 4:10:06:830PM	Realized	\$ 163.00
PETITION(\$ 163.00)							
 <a href="#"><u>Document Available (#1024587465)</u></a>							
05-09-2014	PFE7	-		89604314	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized	\$ 6.00
LAW LIBRARY FEE(\$ 6.00)							
05-09-2014	OCISR	-		89604315	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized	\$ 25.00
OKLAHOMA COURT INFORMATION SYSTEM REVOLVING FUND(\$ 25.00)							
05-09-2014	CCADMIN02	-		89604316	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized	\$ 0.20
COURT CLERK ADMINISTRATIVE FEE ON \$2 COLLECTIONS(\$ 0.20)							
05-09-2014	OCJC	-		89604317	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized	\$ 2.00
OKLAHOMA COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL COMPLAINTS REVOLVING FUND(\$ 2.00)							
05-09-2014	OCASA	-		89604318	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized	\$ 5.00



## OKLAHOMA COURT APPOINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATES(\$ 5.00)

---

05-09-2014 CCADMIN04 -	89604319	May 9 2014 3:56:30:400PM	Realized \$ 0.50
------------------------	----------	-----------------------------	------------------

COURT CLERK ADMINISTRATIVE FEE ON COLLECTIONS(\$ 0.50)

---

05-09-2014 LTF -	89604320	May 9 2014 3:56:32:080PM	Realized \$ 10.00
------------------	----------	-----------------------------	-------------------

LENGTHY TRIAL FUND(\$ 10.00)

---

05-09-2014 SMF -	89604321	May 9 2014 3:57:46:060PM	Realized \$ 10.00
------------------	----------	-----------------------------	-------------------

SUMMONS FEE (CLERKS FEE) -2(\$ 10.00)

---

05-09-2014 SMIMA -	89604322	May 9 2014 3:57:52:580PM	Realized \$ 0.00
--------------------	----------	-----------------------------	------------------

SUMMONS ISSUED - MAILED BY ATTORNEY -2

---

05-09-2014 TEXT -	89604310	May 9 2014 3:56:29:340PM	- \$ 0.00
-------------------	----------	-----------------------------	-----------

OCIS HAS AUTOMATICALLY ASSIGNED JUDGE CANTRELL, DAMAN H. TO THIS CASE.

---

05-09-2014 ACCOUNT -	89604409	May 9 2014 3:58:18:970PM	- \$ 0.00
----------------------	----------	-----------------------------	-----------

RECEIPT # 2014-2846504 ON 05/09/2014.  
 PAYOR:SMOLEN & SMOLEN TOTAL AMOUNT PAID: \$223.70.  
 LINE ITEMS:  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$173.00 ON AC01 CLERK FEES.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$6.00 ON AC23 LAW LIBRARY FEE.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$0.70 ON AC31 COURT CLERK REVOLVING FUND.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$5.00 ON AC58 OKLAHOMA COURT APPOINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATES.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$2.00 ON AC59 OKLAHOMA COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL COMPLAINTS REVOLVING FUND.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$2.00 ON AC64 DISPUTE MEDIATION FEES.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$25.00 ON AC79 OCIS REVOLVING FUND.  
 CJ-2014-1842: \$10.00 ON AC81 LENGTHY TRIAL FUND.

---

05-19-2014 S -	BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY	89847607	May 20 2014 9:23:22:123AM	- \$ 0.00
----------------	---	----------	------------------------------	-----------

PARTY HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY SERVED. SUMMONS SERVED ON BOCC, STAMPED TULSA COUNTY MAIL ROOM, NO DATE OF DELIVERY NOTED, BY CERTIFIED MAIL

 Document Available (#1025499749)

---

May 20 2014

05-19-2014 S

-

GLANZ, STANLEY

89847625 May 20 2014

9:24:18:503AM

-

\$ 0.00

PARTY HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY SERVED. SUMMONS SERVED ON STANLEY  
GLANZ, SIGNATURE ILLEGIBLE, ON 5-14-14 BY CERTIFIED MAIL

 Document Available (#1025499741)

---

Report Generated by The Oklahoma Court Information System at June 2, 2014 12:34 PM

End of Transmission.